


NORTHERN NEWS

UFO

115

SEPTEMBER -
OCTOBER

1985



Publication of NUFON...UFO RESEARCH NORTH Editor: JENNY RANGLES

Address: 8 Whitethroat Walk Birchwood Warrington Cheshire WA3 6PQ

This months cover design by Bill Callaghan

Subscription (1985) 6 issues £5. 40
(payable NUFON)

Printed by EMJAY (Nottingham)

Contents:-

usual features plus:- the
species field hypothesis p2
Cases from:- Scotland, north
east, Cumbria, Lancs, N. & W
Yorks, Cheshire, Gt M/Cr, Staffs
Clwyd & Leicestershire. CASE
HISTORIES:- Peter Day film -
solved? Scottish photo case

All articles/data reproducible
provided NUN and investigators credited

J.R. Comments ...

Recently a number of researchers have been expressing UFO theories of a seemingly radical nature, utilising mind/matter interactions. As these have much in common with my own hypothesis (towards which I have been moving since UFOs: A BRITISH VIEWPOINT) I wanted to try to offer a simple statement of this in terms of recent progress.

Beyond Explanation?, my eighth book, has just been published. Whilst ufologists will see I have not deserted the subject entirely it possesses relatively little direct UFO content, being more concerned with (for the need of better terms) "psychic phenomena". The book I am currently writing will go even further down this road, and may have little about UFOs in it at all. There are reasons for this, connected largely with my desire to expand exploration of the (non-UAP) 'subjective' theory for close encounter cases which I have been developing. But just how does this fit in with the UFO phenomenon? If I try to explain, then perhaps this diversion (which is no such thing, of course) might appear more explicable.

I start from the premise (although all these ideas are dealt with in much greater depth) that there are two fundamental parallel realities: N.R.M. (Normal Reality Mode) - which is of matter, the body and brain. S.R.M. (Synchronistic Reality Mode) - of consciousness and mind.

Both inter-relate to create the "I" sense and our experience in this universe. But they have different laws (although at the level of quantum mechanics we see the subtle border between the two - for here matter and mind are almost indistinguishable). In SRM there is an equivalence time-space-zero-infinity, which translated simply means that at the SRM level we can obtain data from everywhere and everywhen at once. However, because the brain is the amazing instrument that decodes SRM experience into NRM we tend to get a pathetically watered down version in our conscious experience (not so other types of consciousness on the "Spectrum of Reality" - viz lucid dreams, OOE's and many more - but that's a sidetrack just now).

In other words, at an NRM level, we perceive timeless data as time dominated, shackling our experience into deterministic laws. This is why pre-cognition looks like a paradox. In NRM it is impossible, and our poor brain can only do the best it can translating from SRM (where it's not only possible but the way things are) into symbols that somehow make a bit of sense.

Marry this with the important insights of Dr Rupert Sheldrake and we are on the way. Sheldrake (really following many others) proposes that at SRM level (although he doesn't see it as yet) 'minds' pool together. So all human minds form a 'Species Field' (SF) - and, indeed, there may well be planetary fields, universal fields, et al. It is from out of the SF that our minds (in synchronistic reality mode) tap data from all over the place (timewise and spacewise) which our befuddled (NRM constrained) brain decodes as "past lives" or "premonitions" or (wait for it) "alien contacts".

The brain effectively works as an inhibitor. There is so much NRM data coming in from its sensory inputs that something like a "doorman" decides what to let in at any time. I prefer the term "doorman" because it leaves open the tricky question of whether this is a brain process (which some neurologists call 'attention') or self-conscious mind in an abstract sense (as some others counterpose). What ever, the "doorman" decides which input should be given a hearing and which not, and tunes up and down sensory awareness to compensate.

Usually this is a simple procedure, determined by the need to survive. However, when there is little external input the "doorman" can let in material less essential. We see this in sleep, for example, when imagination-memory forms symbols. SRM information can also be allowed in and this is why dreams may contain paranormal material, often jumbled up and dramatised. I expect there is a priority system here too - which is a key reason why premonitory experiences tend to be about 'bad' things. These are viewed by the 'doorman' as rather more urgent.

This is fairly easy to understand in sleep states. But when we are awake (and so taking more note of NRM input) the "doorman" has to use a trick. We (or it) can make us dis-attend. Eg we stop hearing the clock to concentrate on reading a book. Similarly, if the "doorman" wants us to take note of SRM data it believes useful, then it switches off much of our usual attentiveness. This results experientially as the "Oz Factor" - we perceive (a) "all sounds vanished" (b) "being in two worlds at once" and (c) a "timelessness" - all readily understood consequences of the input of SRM data.

Usually, if we are good at visualisation (as artistic-creative-psychic people are) the "doorman" prefers to make darned sure we take notice by superimposing its input onto the world (in sleep it's simple, because the image world can be manipulated - to avoid distractions when awake the real world has to be manipulated similarly). Hence we undergo an "apparition". UFO close encounters, I believe, (and heres the rub), are precisely this: apparitional dramas projected by the "doorman", having employed the Oz Factor to "tune up" SRM input. The special state of consciousness we enter (not sleep, not wakefulness) is what I call the QC (Quasi-Conscious).

But where does the SRM input originate? And why is it deemed important? There may be many answers. In 'disturbed' personalities it could be of internal psychodynamic relevance. In other cases, it may be from the SF-cosmic information (about real aliens out there!) or planetary data outside time constraints (ie info about our own technology from, say, 2385). With dreams the decoding is never perfect and the whole thing is symbolised or dramatised in terms of what lies in our image bank to represent the concept "alien". That's why UFOs exhibit "Cultural Tracking", as Rodney Jones called it in NUN recently. They pattern themselves according to concepts of the day.

I think there is more to it, however. Sheldrake argues that when a form of behaviour in the SF repeats over a threshold it becomes a "norm" - an habitual mode of expression. In so doing it acquires a degree of "reality" it formerly lacked. Post 1947 UFO interpretations of anomalous astronomical-atmospheric stimuli may well have generated a powerful norm in the SF. So UFO encounters in the QC state are not just Adamski style imaginings, but have concretised to a degree of "quasi-reality". They are still not real, in the sense we use that term (hence our lack of objective evidence) - but nor are they imaginary, in the sense we use that term. In a way they are both.

Incidentally, music lovers might be fascinated to listen to the brand new album "Hounds of Love" by Kate Bush (EMI Cassette ET2403844). The entire second side is a 25-minute concept called "The Ninth Wave", which has bemused most music reviewers. Anyone who has understood the above will be far from bemused. It's a beautiful expression of using the species field to extract SRM data of all kinds and have QC Experiences. Kate Bush (formerly a president of SCUFORI by the way) knows her "strange phenomena".

NEWS ROUND-UP

:: Jim Goddard, co-author of the "Skyways and Landmarks Revisited" book (reviewed in NUN 113) wishes to point out an aspect of the booklet he feels I overlooked. It is meant to argue that "the re-emergence of leys and the subsequent earth mysteries movement were directly caused by communication from space-people". Improbable as this may seem (maybe not) the best I can do is suggest that you read the booklet and make up your own mind.

:: Clive Potter writes to advise the demise of UFO Research Midlands, through the old enemy (apathy). But a new Leicester UFO Investigation Centre has been set up. The old UFO RM address remains valid, but the new address is shown on the rear. Tel: 0533-23023. LUFOIC have affiliated to NUFON.

:: The Ley Hunter has a new address ("The Coach House", Mount Street, Brecon, Powys LD3 7LU). Paul Devereux advises that this "huge house" is to be developed as an earth mysteries centre and, starting in the new year, informal weekend seminars with leading figures will be held. TLH readers can come (for a small fee) and participate. If you are interested in more details then write to Paul at this address.



FOR YOUR PERUSAL
:- Major articles elsewhere

Notes:- Sadly, the "Unexplained" resurrection has been scrapped. It was relaunched in September as "Into the Unknown" but the trial five issues in East Anglia failed to sell. I think I know why. They rehashed their stuff so many times in book form (and still are doing!) that the public got wise, at last. Their own fault. But a shame, because the new magazine was to be largely new material. Lesson - if you try to fool the people all the time the people will end up making a fool out of you.

However, the "Unknown" (85p from WH Smiths et al, or £12.30 for 12 monthly issues, cheque/Access/Visa - UNKNOWN 513 London Rd Thornton Heath Surrey CR4 6AR) is going strong. Indeed, it is now attracting good stuff from people who would be writing for the Unexplained. Its worth supporting to make sure it succeeds where so many paranormal news-stand mags have failed. Guaranteed UFO content each issue, its worth it. Issues 2-4 sample content (AUG - "The Siberian Spaceship disaster", by David Barclay - see Media Matters; first of a three parter on hypnosis by Michael Goss, Denis Stacey of MUFON interviews Allen Hynek and Roger Ford (otherwise David Barclay) attacks ufology over the Zigmund Adamski affair - which brought a major response from JR, Nigel Mortimer & Graham Birdsall in the Oct issue!)(SEP - Stacey again on the "Cosmic Watergate" coverup and Peter Hough of MUPOBA begins a two-part on George Adamski - contactee or crank?)(OCT - JR begins an 8-part series on the history of CE4 cases) And there's more from the likes of Janet & Colin Bord, Tony Morrison & Paul Devereux, terrific.

Also new to report is "Odyssey", produced by the Lancashire Centre for UFO Studies. An A4 24pp duplicated/photocopied mag which includes north Lancs cases, articles, press cuttings etc. Looks promising (and they've produced a mini-book about Lancashire cases - to be reviewed next issue) Sub £2, pa, LCUFOS, Stephen Brown, 23 Queen Victoria Rd, Burnley, Lancs

INFINITY 3-4 (73 Torbrex Rd Cumbernauld Glasgow G67 2JU)
JR's answer to Ian Ridpath's Rendlesham explanation "Grounding the lighthouse is included. This will hopefully be published in a US magazine soon.

MAGONIA 20:Aug 85 (£2.50, 4 issues, 64 Alric Ave New Malden Surrey KT3)
Good issue (not unusually) - assesses the Aurora airship 'hoax', child-alien theories & OOBES. Loved the news that Ian Ridpath won special prize in a recent marathon, entered for charity dressed as Halley's Comet. What prize? "Wally of the race"..... Now did I say anything?

FORTEAN TIMES 44 (£6, 4 packed 76pp issues, 96 Mansfield Rd London NW3 2HX)
Aside from the usual miscellany of goodies on everything under the sun which might be construed as odd, Hilary Evans assesses geophysical theories of interaction with the brain to cause physio/psychological responses.

QUEST Jul-Aug 85 (£6, 6 A4, 42pp issues, 68 Buller Crescent Leeds LS9 6LJ)
As always the YUFOS mag is packed with exciting stuff. A full report begins on the Craoe Fell photo case from March 1981. And there's a fascinating insight into Soviet research into ball lightning.

UFO BRIGANTIA (Monthly A4, 14pp, £7.50 - 12 issues, £3.80 - 6 issues - WYFON)
(Address see p.16) Always plenty going on, including case reports & lively articles. **JUL** - A pterodactyl in a Shipley woods. Readers of Ian Watson's novel **MIRACLE VISITORS** (and if you're not - shame on you!) will be as amazed as I am. For a pterodactyl in West Yorkshire is part of the plot! An example of psychic parallelism (and if you don't know what this is then you haven't read **BEYOND EXPLANATION?** - double shame on you!) **AUG** - 1985 case catalogue **SEP** - Paul Bennett begins to explain how he uses dowsing in UFO research. Interesting

INTERNATIONAL UFO REPORTER May/Jun (£20, 6 issues, 1955 John's Dr, Glenview, Ill. US)
You'd be forgiven for thinking Jerry Clark's IUR was anti-UFO. But its great. Real skeptical pro-ufology. Scott Rogo demolishes birth traumas. Richard Hall does likewise to mutilations. And there's a dialogue between JR and Phil Klass on UFO-IPO differences. Plus even more. If you can afford it - get it.

BOOKS OF THE MOMENT



CIRCLES OF SILENCE, Don Robins, Souvenir, 1985 144 pages £14.95

This might sound frightfully expensive. But then it is a smashing book. Large format, packed with pictures and quite a few in colour. What's it all about? Don Robins is one of the scientists who has worked with Paul Devereux on the Dragon Project and this is a description of the way the project developed from October 1978 to the first scientific press on it (New Scientist - exactly four years later). It is clear, lightly written and the only book on earth mysteries I have read that I have had no trouble understanding (no small feat I can assure you!) Whilst it barely mentions UAPs or earthlights it does discuss the magnetic and radiation anomalies at stone circles. But for those of you interested in the Devereux style UFO hypothesis and willing (as you should be) to look at these in their broader perspective I haven't come across a better book to set you off. No bull - this is lovely.


A SECRET PROPERTY, Ralph Noyes, Quartet, 1985 186 pages £7.95

I have sat on my review of this since the book was launched in June, because I did not have a clue what to say about it! Now I have read it twice and seen some other reviews I still wish I could avoid reviewing it. But I can't. Still, I will likely chicken out.

It can be read in two ways:- as a conventional political/thriller/sci-fi novel set in the world of UFOs and the MoD. Or, as I shall call it, the "Alternate Hypothesis" - that its purpose lies much deeper.

Noyes is, of course, the man who came out of the closet after the News of the World story about Rendlesham. As four-year head of the MoD department DS 8 (the one that handles UFOs!) his support for the case and the book *Sky Crash* was both heartening and baffling. He says he initiated the novel after the NOTW story, and although he has written some short stories he had this first work commissioned by Quartet (themselves an interesting establishment publishers). As might be expected it stems from Rendlesham (a place which features in the book, alongside an RAF "Bentbridge" run by Colonel Hoyt - which you can see through as Bentwaters/Woodbridge and Col Halt without much of an IQ. Very curious risk-taking for a man you would expect to be bureaucratically cautious. Like DS 8 suddenly forsaking their "defence implications" waffle in a letter and saying - "Oh yes, of course UFOs are real" It doesn't happen - so when it does you find yourself instinctively smelling a rat. I several times asked Ralph why he used such obvious markers in his "fiction" - and he never gave me an answer to speak of.

So, as a novel, the plot rambles off at a pace and is distracting enough. For three-quarters of the way I sat enthralled (through the UFO window of Yorkshire to Scotland - a clever snub at Stuart Campbell who has recently denied the reality of a Pennine window!) Only when the storyline (which I won't divulge - but involves a "crazy Lord", local north-west UFO groups - guess who? - UAPs, earthlights and psychic powers) degenerates into a cross between Dr Strangelove and Whoops Apocalypse! did I start to get worried. There was a cheer when the British PM (so obviously Maggie Thatcher) dies on the turf of Wembley Stadium (where she has gathered all we mutt NUFONites for a sermon on ETs) losing her wig in the process. But then I wondered (not for the first time) how he had the bravery (stupidity?) to make the PM so blatantly a real person (without in this case saying so). At times it was hard not to imagine Maggie and Michael Heseltine proofreading the manuscript, and therein lies the "Alternate Hypothesis". It may all be a great big hoax, but I wouldn't put my money on it. It's no backdoor attempt to leak the truth and yet it might be a backdoor attempt to do exactly otherwise. There is a lot I could say about Ralph Noyes, the way he came into the UFO fold and then reacted when he was in it. But mindful of lawsuits (and desirous of NUN remaining in print) I won't say it - at least not now and not here. One day...! Meanwhile, if you want to just read it as a story, spiced with many a double-entendre, it's jolly good fun. Well written and often funny.



MEDIA MATTERS

A look at UFOs in the public eye

The media continued its schizophrenic handling of the UFO mystery, as shown by reactions to "Science and the UFOs". No plug intended, I say this simply because it is the first UFO book by an accepted science publisher in the UK. Ian Ridpath in New Scientist (5 Sep) predictably shot it to pieces in a "review" (that was more like a Rendlesham sour grapes article) entitled "Pie in the Sky". I was deeply concerned at his remark that the book contains "several cases that they must know are highly suspect, if not downright fraudulent". Oh yes? Which cases are these then? Would Mr Ridpath care to justify such tosh with actual examples? Or does he just use his influence with science journals to get away with lies? He ends by predicting "UFOs do not represent anything new to science; and that even by 2010...Ufologists will still be chasing forlornly the final proof that never comes". He says this on the basis (as he admitted on a live LBC radio debate he and I had in July) of only ever investigating three UFO cases!

And he probably counts Rendlesham in these (as he makes such a fuss about it). Truth is he has never spoken to a single witness involved in the case. But he never tells you that!

The Guardian (13 Jul) were much better. Under the heading "Goodbye to little green men" and a photo of an alien JR (at least it must be, as I wasn't there when this photo of "me" was taken!) Stuart Wavell reviews the book fairly, actually telling the reader what it's about (unlike "Wally of the race" Ian R). Funny, I had this daft idea book reviews were supposed to do that! Still it shows that ufology is making inroads (but slowly).

Then in August the media decided that, as there were no UFOs to speak of in Britain, they would speak of UFOs elsewhere. Various papers (2-3 Aug) reported how two Zimbabwe Air Force 'Hawk' jets chased a silvery UFO up to 31,000 ft, but it left them standing and zoomed up to 70,000 ft! Best report (amazingly) was in The Times (3 Aug "Zimbabwe Air Force goes UFO hunting"). The sighting occurred at 17.45pm 22 July 1985 and followed many reports from Bullawayo and surrounds. It was also tracked on radar, seen by many experienced ground observers as well. Sounds like a super case.

Next came another aircraft-UFO encounter, eg Sunday Express (11 Aug). The original UPI press release is more helpful. It relates how Captain Wang Shu Ting on a Chinese Jumbo from Peking to Paris on 11 June (late night) encountered a yellow 'walnut' when at 32,800 feet over Inner Mongolia. All the crew saw it, and after making plans for an emergency landing the light faded out.

The same paper (25 Aug) under the doct title "Could this be an alien invasion?" reported the third affair (22 August - 28 UFOs over the Adriatic which sound rather like stars and meteors). It added a July disc encounter from Smaland, Sweden, from PC Jan Aschan, yet another aircraft-UFO encounter (a near collision over Como of an Olympic Airways plane) and a mid-air encounter over Buenos Aires when 45 Argentine journalists saw a UFO! You will have noted all the UK aircraft encounters in recent issues (which have not made the press, of course, have they?). Something is most clearly afoot (or rather aflight). So much so that I have just been asked to write a piece for the Air UK inflight magazine. That should put 'em off flying for good!

Back at home the YUFOs nine-day "round the clock" August skywatch got some publicity (Yorkshire Evening Post, 24 Aug was good). A terrific experiment (continuous ufo monitoring in a UFO hotspot) - but (as you can see from all the above cases) the UFOs took the last laugh and had a field day appearing everywhere but Yorkshire. All a coincidence, of course, wasn't it?

But it wasn't all fun. Daily Star (27 Aug) reports how Metro Radio's decision to hold a live outside broadcast skywatch in Yorkshire's "UFO week" flopped badly. Hundreds turned up to watch the skies and report their sightings - but a gang of seventy drunken yobs overturned the tent and ended the show! Whether this was the National Front, APEN, the Mod or the aliens is not reported. Hooligans it seems get everywhere these days!

Bradford Telegraph & Argus (25 Jul) reveals, to all who may have wondered, who the Unknown's book reviewer David Barolay (alias Roger Ford) really is - after 49 'frustrating' job interviews he has become a writer on UFOs and has a book apparently coming out about Fatima and UFOs. He lives in Nab Wood Terrace, Shipley - real UFO land. But (see page 4) his writings so far have not been without problems.

To end, as usual, with a chuckle. Daily Mirror (9 Aug) asks "Are you married to an alien?" UFO fanatic Larry Karnes (who?) has apparently offered guidelines for how to tell. Do they go on strange diets, order bizarre drinks, need little sleep, go to unusual places for a holiday and stay silent for a long time? If so I guess he wants to hear from you, although I am not sure which looney bin he is currently staying at.

BRIEF CASES:-
Some Current Investigations

Readers of CIRCLES OF SILENCE (see page 5) might be interested to know that I checked the NUFON files for UFO sightings around 21 Oct 1978 when the first anomalous readings were taken in the Dragon Project. Guess what? The two cases immediately surrounding this occurred on 18 Oct and 23 Oct. The latter may have involved ultrasound (found at the Dragon site). All were in the Midlands (where the readings were taken) and the two cases (you're not going to believe this - but honest!) were at 18 Oct (Stone - Staffs) and 23 Oct (many - including Atherstone!).

Another coincidence of no possible importance - of course.

Britains First Airship? Clive Potter (LUFOIC) report

The Leicester Chronicle for 27 May 1843 (p.3) contains a report which is abstracted below:- The incident occurred on the preceding Thursday when an "aerial machine" was seen passing south over the Dane Hills at a height of about 4000 feet. Allegedly, "spoke was issuing from the chimney of the engine" and "fins" (like the wings of a bird) were at first stationary but then moved several times "as if flapping for the purpose of either elevating or propelling this curious vehicle". A family from Braunstone village (now part of the city) were cited as witnesses; although others from the "New Walk" area (about 3 miles east) were also noted. The "machine" threw off large clouds of smoke in its rear and soon "entered a light cloud, then reappeared for a few moments, and afterwards became lost from sight in a cloud".

Clive Potter notes that the area was heathland for almost 100 years after this affair, and that it has "earth mother" traditions (Dane Hills may be derived from D'Anu - earth mother spirit). Today skeptics would doubtless relate this to "space debris" re-entry. A fireball meteor seems the only (vaguely possible) stimulus. But this is most fascinating for an illustration of how the UFO stimulus was possibly seen, and certainly interpreted, in terms of "cultural tracking" - viz steam engines, flight by way of wings (remember early aircraft experiments half a century later) etc. There are lessons to be learnt for our 20th C UFOs (and our 21st C?)

TAKE THE VERY HIGH ROAD (1) (Final part next issue)

My thanks to Dean Ladds of Cambuslang who has passed to me copies of material collated for a "UFOs over Scotland" book project by a Scottish police officer. Said officer backed out of the project when life was made uncomfortable (in a way several "professional" readers of NUN will quite understand). So I intend to summarise his main collected cases, for the record.

5409: May/June 1954, Perth Apprentice projectionist (then 19) on afternoon break from cinema (approx 15.00) observed silent, daylight disc over local woods. Moved off in a northerly direction.

7235: Summer 1972 Buckingham Weird case, but not unprecedented. Man fishing in stream observed a reflection in the water of a cigar-shaped object. He glanced at the sky. Nothing was there! Back at the water and the "reflection" showed the object still travelling parallel with the river. It was "pewter" coloured with rounded ends and four or five circular areas that might have been called portholes (although he saw them as merely variations in shade and texture). Another look at the virtually unobstructed sky confirmed. There was no UFO! But the "reflection" remained visible for about 12 seconds, until it turned somewhat obliquely to the course of the river and vanished. The witness has a theory connected with interference patterns to explain the "interrupted" light. Of course, it is possible that this is a case of something underwater (readers are referred to the Helmsore reservoir sighting of an underwater object in THE PENNINE UFO MYSTERY- p101-102). But an even closer case exists in the UFOIN files of a phantom reflection on water, and in ALIEN CONTACT a shadow effect sans object in the sky is also described. Something tells me these rare events are theoretically terribly important. Any ideas????

75-163: July 27 1975 Fife At 00.15 a silent light observed heading NNW. Five flashes two seconds apart were followed by a three second pause and the sequence was repeated. Witness believes object was rotating. No sound. In view 2½ mins. Reported to RAF Leuchars and then contacted by MoD who said they were investigating it - "for defence implications". Of course.

77-336: October 8 1977 Nth Caryltyne, Glasgow. At lam from bedroom window husband and wife observed dark grey circular object surrounded by rotating steady white lights or windows. It emerged from a cloud and was in view 20 seconds before veering from its northerly course to head W. Witnesses add interesting data - on the previous night there had been a torrential downpour with electrical storm. The area was flooded but the sky had just cleared and the frontal system passed - was this a trigger for an earthlights type UAP? (Again see PENNINE UFO MYSTERY conclusions)

78-276: May 1978 A terrific tale from PC William McTaggart (No - not the TV series cop!) from Dalmellington station in Ayrshire. Long time NUN readers will recall that around this time south-west Scotland was having much fun with a ball of orange light being seen all over the shop. Bill Gibbons (now after bigger game - see P.16) reported for us. Well Po McT knew of this. Indeed when he began his shift he met a man at Patna (in the Doon Valley) (8 miles SE of Ayr) who flagged down his car to report seeing it that night. Convinced he was a "nut" PC McT drove on, to hunt some missing boys at Drongan. Returning on the country roads towards Patna (approaching 2am) the orange, spherical object "noiselessly zooming about the sky" was there filling his vision. "I must have taken several thousand miles off those tyres in one split second" he explains, as he screeched to a halt. For 2-3 minutes he watched as it spiralled upwards and headed off north west towards the coast, eventually going behind the hills. As he puts it "I'd have been certified now" but that two other PCs saw it from other locations. It was instantly reported to Ayr control who checked that moment with Prestwick Airport (10 miles north). Nothing on radar. Nothing in the area. They said. By 7am he had answered many an official query and been forced to put it all on paper by his shift sergeant (a fine no doubt reposing in the MOD somewhere). As he puts it, "if you're a simple country cop, and per chance see anything remotely like a UFO - keep it to yourself as its very embarrassing trying to explain it on paper and even more so to your mates." PC Alan Godfrey (ex) would certainly agree with that!

AN EARLY OPENING OF THE PENNINE WINDOW:

Case 6512 June 1965 Flasby, Skipton, N. Yorks 18.30 MUFORA case

Recently two photographic oases from North Yorkshire "window" have caused a stir. YUFOS pursued the Gracoe Fell case (see Jul-Aug 85 QUEST) and WYUFORG the Appletreewick Morris-dancers case. Flasby is in the same remote moorland area, a couple of miles from both these places.

Mrs J Whitehead (incidentally the third unrelated "Whitehead" witness MUFORA have had this year - and its nine man team has an investigator called Whitehead!) - now a legal secretary (aged 41) was on the steps on a warm sunny evening grooming her dogs. Suddenly the two dogs looked skywards and she did too as a huge oval, glinting silver and with some "windows" on the underside, came from above the house (very low down). As it passed over it made a slight swishing sound. It moved swiftly towards a copse at the front of her and she felt strangely calm and isolated (classic Oz Factor effects). She ran indoors and told her mother and they both went into the woods but could not find it. Mrs W says "I've tried over the years to explain it away as something recognisable, but cannot". Her father was in the garden at the rear of the house and a farmer on a tractor close by. Neither saw anything - logically very hard to understand (but again a common CE effect). MUFORA is considering the option of regression hypnosis to explore the possible deeper implications of this case.



LIGHTSHOW OVER LAKE - UAPs OR EARTHLIGHTS?

Case 75-162 July 14 1975 Ullswater, Cumbria 23.00 Level D Dean Ladds

Seven witnesses (man, wife, three daughters, son and nephew) all on holiday at cottage overlooking the Lake, which is in mountain country south west of Penrith. It was a clear, starlit night and they were looking at the water (after returning from a walk) when a white sphere with a bluish middle "appeared" above the centre of the lake. At a height of approximately 30 feet it began to float towards them and passed right overhead and disappeared down into the fell at the back of them.

Then "all hell broke loose". Back indoors with the lights out they watched the "lightshow". Three coloured lights appeared above this fell and hovered for about five minutes. Two then moved off, but the third (a bright orange ball) continued to hover for another ten minutes. Then it seemed to swell in size and zoomed upwards, disappearing in seconds.

The witnesses say "we were shaken by this experience".

A UFO PRONE WITNESS

Level D

PW now lives in Gateshead, Tyne & Wear. His three experiences all took place at various other locations in the north-east. (Dates approx.)

Event 1 (Jul-Aug 1975) At Sunderland, time 23.30. clear starry night, he was gazing NE out over the North Sea. He observed a number of white LITS milling about and then returning to one focal point. He called his wife out, and said nothing. Within 10 seconds she pointed out the LITS to him. After 10-15 mins they returned to the one spot and vanished. Options? (Perhaps gulls with reflective bellies - but reflecting what out at sea? A military refuelling exercise?)

Event 2 (Sep 1976) On a lone drive to Alnwick, Northumbria, at 00.30 and again saw zigzagging white LITS. This time they all vanished in different directions.

Event 3 (Jun-Jul 1981) driving along the A1M near Bellmount/Durham at 22.45 with his girlfriend. Both suddenly got an uneasy feeling and felt "funny". At first thought that fumes might be coming into car when object crossed sky (very high up). Cigar shaped with a red light at each end and white light the full length of the underbelly. Watched from outside for few minutes afraid that it was emitting radiation and "our time was up" (his wife had died from cancer a couple of years before - so this thought is understandable). Upon arrival home PW called Newcastle Airport who said that a USAF training plane had been on manoeuvres in the area and this was what they saw. PW does not believe it was.

OFFICIAL- THE MOON IS MADE OUT OF CHEESE (Spread)! Level C
Case 79-161 Winter (early) 1979 Bradley, Wrexham, Clwyd MUFORA

Seven boys, aged 7-9 at time, were out in a darkened field late one evening. It was cool and dark with scattered cloud. Suddenly their attention was drawn to a "golden aeroplane" near the moon. In fact the object was so placed as to be part obscuring the moon (then in gibbous phase). It remained in this position throughout the 3-8 mins of the sighting. It resembled a "cheese box", flat and round, and was golden-yellow with two rectangular red lights at each side. The top was visible and seemed to be rotating. Reporter (DW) says the thing seemed to form out of the air. He also reports the Oz Factor (although having read THE PENNINE UFO MYSTERY he uses that name). He describes a break in consciousness where he suddenly found himself looking down the field at a figure (which turned out to be a friend's father). They ran to him but the UFO had gone. Since then he has developed an interest in UFOs, astronomy and psychology. My only thought is that some cloud round the moon might conceivably have taken on an amorphous shape with the moon shining through it... but the witnesses are not likely to find that a very satisfactory explanation.



WYUFORG Case 8093 ?? 1980 Tong, West Yorkshire 23.00 Martin Daglass
Level A Peter Chataway

Witness GS (aged 24) and girlfriend (aged 28) (at time) were driving into Tong when they spotted a bright white steady light in the NW, elevation 60 degrees. They stopped the car to watch. After ten minutes it had now descended to about 15 degrees elevation (in the same part of the sky) but seemed to be getting closer. They drove the car away from the location, and the object faded into the distance towards Leeds. WYUFORG point out that Yeadon Airport is roughly in the right direction, but that the 10 mins duration seems excessive. Also Yeadon closes at 22.30; although (as YUFOS have shown) nefarious military goings on (involving helicopters) might be occurring after hours. WYUFORG eventually had to close the case as insufficient data, despite Martin Daglass knowing both witnesses personally and being satisfied about their credibility.

LIGHT CRUCIFIX OVER LEEDS Level A
WYUFORG Case 8257 Early 1982 Bramley, Leeds, W Yorks 08.00 M. Daglass

It was a dull, windy, wet morning and witness Mrs W was waiting for her bus to take her to work. The road was very busy with traffic and at least one man was with her at the bus stop. Her sighting was of an unusual crucifix shape of white lights, in the SE and consisting of some 30 or so lights "like reflected car headlights on a very wet surface". She pointed it out to the man, who saw it too but seemed very unconcerned. It was in view just seconds before disappearing, in a sudden manner (although the witness feels that the object turned away). Whilst aircraft do turn over this location to go into Leeds/Bradford Airport Mrs W says if it was an aircraft it was much bigger than anything she has ever seen before (golfball at arms length estimate). But the shape was like looking at an aircraft from underneath. WYUFORG do not believe an aircraft is likely. They moot the idea of some sort of atmospheric effect. And it should be remembered that venus has been misidentified as cross-shaped before (cf the classic Oct 1967 Devon wave). However, the weather seems to make this untenable. A lake and quarry are in the same general area and Mrs W claims to have inherited "psychic powers" from her mother (a "fortune teller of some repute")




WYUFORG Case 8332 Sept 13 1983 Dringhouses, N. Yorks 04.00 Level D

Mrs AJ got up to let the cat out and look at the stars. She saw a brilliant "planet shape" which was "larger than the evening star" moving NW to SE across the sky. It glowed a soft orange-yellow. She woke her husband and they both speculated about it as they continued to observe its slow progress. It took fifteen minutes to cross entire field of view (much too slow for an aircraft she reckons). It was also viewed through binoculars but nothing other than a bright light was visible. It traversed the horizon quite low down. What was it? Mrs AJ failed to return an RI form to WYUFORG. Was it a slow moving aircraft flying parallel a long way off? Or even a satellite?

WAPIT Case 8507 April 6 1985 Worsley Hall, Wigan, Gt Mcr 22.10 Lv:B

Three WAPIT members observed a slow moving oval with hazy appearance. It was coloured orange-white but transformed within seconds to a row of red lights (approx 4). After 2 minutes observation the object made a sharp turn of direction and headed off towards Ormskirk. (West). No sound was heard and the object appeared very low. The group evaluate a number of possible explanations and rule these out. For example, aircraft (owing to the lack of any strobe or navigation lights and the fact that if it were one then it would be flying sideways!) It was a calm night with clear sky and stars and little wind.



UFO IN BRONTE-LAND Level A
WYUFORG Case 8508 May 23 1985 Haworth, W. Yorks 16.15 Peter Chataway

Witness AW is a painter & decorator aged 36 in this picturesque and famous village. He was up a ladder working on a customers gutter at the time when he first saw the object. It was virtually overhead and had come from the SSE and was heading NW. His instant reaction was that it was a helicopter, because it was pear shaped and clearly metallic (glinting in the afternoon sun). He presumed an observation from directly underneath. However, during the 15 seconds of the sighting he was able to get a very good look at it and saw neither propellers nor any windows, doors or markings to indicate that this was a correct evaluation. It was also quite silent, which a helicopter would presumably not be.



WYUFORG spent some time trying to explain this case. No other witnesses were found, despite Haworth being a popular tourist spot. Police and newspaper were checked. The weather was hot, sunny and with virtually no wind. Therefore, it seems unlikely that a balloon of any kind would be able to drift across so much sky in such a short period of time. (Witness had rushed down his ladder and brought out the houses occupier but by the time this was done there was no trace of the object).

The group conclude that this case appears unidentified.

WYUFORG Case 8509 June 23 1985 Bradford, West Yorks 22.30 Lev:A
Inv: Jeff Tolley

Long duration sightings are always suspicious. And this was certainly of long duration. After one and a half hours (at about midnight) the witness Mr S (age 24) went to bed and left the phenomenon still in view.


Mr S had been taking his dog for a walk when he saw a bluish white flash (which he took to be lightning) (Weather was warm and dry). Looking to the south from where the flash had come he observed five star like lights in a line (two red- two white - one red). They were flashing intermittently. He watched them for 20 mins and then went home to continue watching. They did not move. Whilst a conventional explanation seems obvious the investigator visited the site 13 days after the events at 23.15 on a clear night. He could see no ground lights that might have been misinterpreted and no stars that fitted.

WAPIT Case 8510 July 9 1985 Nelson, Lancs 00.45 Inv: J & T Cotton

Mrs CH, aged 55, was restless and unable to sleep and so started to stargaze from her bedroom. She observed two aircraft and was able to evaluate these as such owing to strobe lights and sound. After these had vanished a mass of lights appeared and traversed the sky east to west quite slowly. It pulsed green-yellow-blue-purple-red and was quite silent. It moved into a big dark cloud and was not seen to reappear. She went to make a cup of tea and on return not only was the UFO missing - so was the cloud!

MUFORA Case 8511 July 9 1985 Aspley, Notts 01.00 Level C

This case coincides timewise with the above. Witness is 55 year old RS who is unemployed and has a writing defect. His testimony is fairly incoherent. However, the UFO came out of the clouds and "hovered" in the sky beside his house. He thinks the porch light attracted it, because it was over there making a buzzing noise. When he switched the light off it went away! It was silvery white, round and with windows around the edge and lights on its rim. The witness believes it was inspecting the cars in the road and only stayed around his house because all the other houses were in darkness. He thinks it was originally attracted to his home because, apart from the porch light, he had left (wait for it) the landing light on too! He estimates duration of sighting as one hour. MUFORA did not consider the witness reliable, although he was sincere, and felt that an astronomical solution was the most likely option for this particular case.



MUFORA Case 8512 August 3 1985 Meir Heath, Staffs 24.00 Level B

Witness AW is 68 and retired. He had just watched the TV movie "Meteor" and gone to bed. It was a cloudy night but with some breaks in the cloud. From his bedroom window (facing north) he observed a tiny star like light which came from behind the clouds and moved at "fantastic speed" SE-NW. It was "cricket ball" sized and bright white. It was visible for no more than 2-3 seconds. He says of it, "I thought - is it a meteor? But nobody will believe me, not after seeing the film. They'd think I was doom-mongering. That it was going to crash into Manchester or something." Well it didn't, of course. But MUFORA was able to persuade the man that meteors are common enough and that this almost certainly was one. AW accepted this, but concluded by asking MUFORA to report it and requesting - "Can you do me a big favour? If it checks out, official-like, that it was a meteor. Can you name it after me?" We told him there was no problem and he is now happy in the knowledge that on August 3 1985 his meteor was seen to whiz across the sky. What a nice tale to end a page on.

MUFORA Case 8513 August 14 1985 Chester, Cheshire 22.58BST Lev: B

Woman who professed to be an "amateur" astronomer was watching Venus when a dim star appeared and tracked across the sky east-west. It moved at "terrific speed" and took two minutes to cross from horizon to horizon. Two people with her and only one of them could see it. But, she added, "I do have terrific eyesight". Reported it to Jodrell Bank who channeled witness to group. MUFORA immediately asked witness - "why are you sure it was not a satellite?" To which she answered "I didn't know you could see any of those without a telescope." 'Nuff said.


WAPIT Case 8514 August 25 1985 Worsley Mesnes, Lancs 00.32 J Cotton

Miss E and her mother were returning from a night out when they saw a "fireball", described as orange and oval. It was silent. WAPIT rule out meteor explanation because it did not "head directly to the ground" - but skimming fireball meteors do not. As there is a big meteor storm in mid-late August this is a probable explanation.

WAPIT Case 8515 September 3 1985 Haigh Hall, Lancs J Cotton

Three witnesses in the grounds of Haigh Hall observed a "daylight disc" of silver light come from behind a cloud. It was metallic with a dark underside and was in view for three minutes. This was a very windy day and WAPIT moot the idea of a balloon (possibly a toy one of the wretched UFO variety). Seems as good a guess as any.

CASE HISTORIES



THE PETER DAY MOVIE FILM - SOLVED?

Most of you will be familiar with the movie film taken by building surveyor, Peter Day, at approximately 9.05-9.10am on 11 January 1973 near the village of Cuddington on the Oxfordshire/Buckinghamshire border. (For full account see UFOs: A BRITISH VIEWPOINT, much updated in UFO REALITY - which includes a fine colour still on the cover).

The case has long been regarded as a "UFO classic" (not least by me) and I have frequently gone on record as saying it's the only piece of film I would stick my neck out and call a UFO. More recently I have been saying UAP, instead of UFO, because if it truly is unidentified then it is probably a natural phenomenon of some sort. However, the question before us now is precisely this: - is it unexplained?

To recap: - Peter Day was travelling to a 9.30am appointment in Lower Winchendon when he saw the object (a classic orange ball of light). The day was dull and overcast (2000 ft cloud ceiling) but it was daylight, of course. PD observed the object for a couple of minutes (going parallel with the Thame-Aylesbury road on which he drove) and then pulled off on a side road, wound down his window and filmed it with a Pacemaker 200 using zoom lens and Super 8 film. The orange ball was low on the horizon heading from north to north-east across treetops. About 15-20 seconds of film was obtained, but the UFO vanished instantly. PD says he stopped filming exactly at that point, but only the very last frame (which is blurred) fails to show the UFO. In other words it vanishes in the space of one eighteenth of a second and this is remarkably fast reflex action for P.D.! (Although he was expert at filming aircraft - including the Red Arrows).

After his appointment PD went to report it but some witnesses already had. These were children at Chilton school, near Long Crendon, about 3 miles west north west of PD. A teacher was also witness. They appeared to have seen the same thing only closer (as PD was facing north when he filmed the UFO). A joint MUFORA-CONTACT investigation had occurred within a few weeks but the case report was not in the MUFORA case files.

Working only on PDs testimony (and his second hand observations of the stories from the school-PD having been there to show his film) JR and Peter Warrington spent much time on the case. KODAK evaluated the film in 1978 and pronounced it "genuine". Their view was that it showed a "fireball". With the aid of KODAK and Ian Ridpath a seminar was then set up in London for about eight leading atmospheric physicists and ball lightning specialists. Ian Ridpath felt the film might be the first ever taken of ball lightning. The experts all came out against that and one even said - "It's a UFO - why are you afraid to call it that?" But none of them accepted the offer to take the film back to their research centres or universities for study.

Next an MOD munitions expert saw it but had no answer, save a helicopter with an orange searchlight on top. He said these had been used experimentally but when PW and JR checked we found this was after January 1973 and not from Upper Heyford.

So to the August 1983 High Wycombe seminar which debated the case. We finally succeeded in finding the case file (which was woefully inept as expected - although some 40pp long and including much data of interest) SCUFORI, the Swindon group, and Ken Phillips from BUFORA, agreed to a joint reinvestigation. Their two-year study (expanding the case file to 108 pp and bringing it slap up to date with eighties investigatory techniques) was completed in June and threw a real spanner in the works. For they seem to have solved the case.

Firstly, it must be noted that SCUFORI did not interview any other witness but PD. They concentrated on the fact that an F-111 from USAF Upper Heyford was known to have crashed that same morning. PD had himself brought this to attention, but he had insisted that his wife had heard of the crash on the 9am news thus negating any possibility that he saw the jet at 9.05. SCUFORI proved this wrong. The F-111 had crashed at 9.46 am at the village of North Crawley (approx 30 miles north of Cuddington - in a north-east direction - toward which the object on film was headed). A Major and Captain were aboard. Both ejected safely using rocket boosters. The plane burst into flames on impact; although eye-witnesses do say it plunged almost vertically out of cloud on fire at that point. Despite attempts no data about the reason for the crash has been supplied by the USAF or MOD. But eyewitnesses at Bletchley say the jet was circling them for 40 minutes as if in trouble (but not on fire). (JR, having accessed the micro film records of the 'TIMES' since receiving the SCUFORI file has added new details and confirmed that the F-111 circled the M1 looking for a place to crash land without danger to civilians, and using up fuel.

Taking these things into account SCUFORI conclude that the UFO must be regarded as the F-111, because it would be grossly improbable that two unusual events occurred in the same area of sky at about the same time. It is said in passing that we might argue the UFO caused the F-111 crash, but nobody saw UFO and F-111 together - a damning factor. From Upper Heyford (about 15 miles NW of PD) to the impact point the F-111 could have flown the course depicted on the film. However, SCUFORI do not explain why the object on the film bears no resemblance to an F-111. Clearly it is flying a steady course and cannot be on fire (if the orange ball on the film is an F-111 on fire it would impact onto the ground there and then because it would be literally ablaze all over). SCUFORI make a suggestion that either PD has timings wrong (and so the film was taken nearer the crash time), or a small fire broke out previously, was extinguished, and this precipitated the emergency which lead to the aircraft flying around in circles before it crashed.

Whilst one has to see some of the logic of their argument there are considerable problems about accepting the F-111 solution. PD did not film the object later than 9.10 (I have discussed SCUFORI's ideas with him - which they had not done - and I am sure of that). In addition the other witnesses are recorded in full in the original BUFORA report and their testimony clearly puts the time at 9.00-9.05. (The children were just about to enter school and the teacher got to school five minutes after her sighting at about 9.05). They cannot all be wrong.

It is very hard to accept that the object on the film is an F-111 in any guise. Under enormous magnifications no structure behind the ball of orange is visible. Besides which the testimony of eight girls and the teacher, Elizabeth Thompson (who was south of the school near Ickford) are detailed and very consistent. Mrs Thompson even doubted that what she saw was what was on the film, because it looked much bigger. Yet finally she accepted this. These nine additional witnesses all say the 'beachball' like object rotated (anti-clockwise if viewed from above), hovered, moved below trees and then climbed upwards again. And it was very close to them. Not one of them heard any sound.

Two sets of weather data are available (one obtained by BUFORA in 1973 from Benson, and one from SCUFORI at Abingdon). Both are pretty consistent and give visibility as 7-9 km. At that maximum possible distance (with winds at 7 knots from the direction the UFO traveled) it is hard to conceive an F-111 being silent. As attractive as the explanation is we must accept some pretty unacceptable things if we are to call this case solved.



Clearly, because with a case like this (three independent groups of witnesses and solid evidence) the potential is so great, we have not heard the last of this twelve-year old saga. SCUFORI have certainly chucked a spanner in the works, and can we really presume the F-111 crash to be pure coincidence? But as to the final truth having now been found, I do not feel that such an opinion (mooted by SCUFORI in several recent newspaper articles) is justified. The case will be discussed at the 2 November NIC meeting (see page 16). At which all NUFON groups are welcome.

A NEW PHOTOGRAPHIC CASE MUFORA Investigation

Witness JS from Humberside wrote in April 1985 enclosing a 35mm print taken by him beside Urquhart Castle on the shores of Loch Ness. He thought it depicted a UFO. Additional data and the negative were obtained so that photo analysis by image intensifier would be completed (by Derek Blade). The following is a summary of conclusions reached.

It was either August 1st or 2nd 1978, time approx 07.30. (Dates finally obtained via old check stub records). JS rose early to film the castle against the lightening sky with his Canon camera. It was set at f11 and a one hundred and twenty fifth of a second. Ilford FP4 film was used. The picture was number 36A on a 36 exposure film (ie last shot) It was taken normally & the witness saw nothing at the time. On an ordinary sized print nothing odd is visible, but JS was making four times enlargements & on doing so noticed a thin 'disco' at a 30° angle above the castle turret. A 16 times blow up of that made by the witness shows the object as in Pic 1 above. It looked like a disco and bore no resemblance to a fault or flare.

MUFORA's tests validated this. The object is certainly not a mark or film fault. It depicts a real object in the sky, somewhat distant and a little fuzzy or hazy. The image intensifier was able to produce a 6000 times enlargement. However, taking a picture from the monitor to produce a print seriously reduces the quality and so our 6000 times prints are very faint, but show the object as 60 mm long - sufficient to detect some areas of structure (see pic 2). These are not enough to make a firm judgement; although the object does have semblance of wings, tail etc. But Derek Blade says on the intensifier screen was a very clear image of a jet plane (probably a Harrier). Since jets do use Loch Ness for test flight purposes it is quite likely this is the correct evaluation. It would be so high and JS would be intent on his photography that its apparent invisibility and silence do not present any problems. Sadly, another photo would seem to bite the dust!



FINAL
WORDS

**Last issue in this space I had to report on the very serious illness of Coral Lorenzon. Now I must report the sad stop-press news that Allan Hynek collapsed recently and was fighting for his life (as of October 1st) in a San Francisco hospital. A brain tumour is believed to be responsible. Everyone who knows Allan is deeply shocked and praying for good news. I am sure that all NUN readers wish him well and send their thoughts to Mimi and his family at this time.

**Early warning of a seminar to be held by Manchester University (extra-mural dept) on ESP & UFOs. Speakers will include Professor AJ Ellison, Dr John Shaw and your editor. But it will be a working weekend for serious debate too. Date 14 & 15 December (at the Conference Centre West Didsbury, Manchester). No more details available but it is open to the public - so I suggest you write to the extra-mural dept for info. (Manchester University, Oxford Rd, Manchester).

**Bill Gibbons, erstwhile UFO investigator for NUFON, is about to set off for the Congo with a team of scientists to hunt the "Mokeke mbembe" - a sauropod dinosaur said to live there! The team are very confident of arriving back in England next March-April with photographic proof. If they do, I am sure we will all know about it. Good luck Bill.

**Just a word about the NUFON files. These are being collected from NUFOIS on October 19 (NUFOIS having held on to them to "process" some requests). Once in our possession a new home must be found. Any final offers make now, please. Ideally we would like a building with photocopy and research facilities where they can be readily accessible. We have had several offers of people's homes. Next issue we will open the choice up to you to decide. But the files will stay in the north.

CALENDER Oct 26 Second meeting of northern groups interested in the paranormal. ALL NUFON groups invited. Each group will present a report on the case which was most instructive to them. Manchester University Catholic Chaplaincy, St Peters House, Oxford Rd - 1.30pm.

NOV 2 National Investigators meeting (all serious investigators welcome, BUFORA members or not). Will discuss ongoing new important cases. 2pm LONDON BUSINESS SCHOOL, near Baker St tube. (At 6.30pm - same venue BUFORA lecture is by Ian Ridpath - arch debunker. Rotten eggs extra)

NOV 16 ASSAP AGM - Sheffield (details from SSPR)

DEC 7 BUFORA lecture by Hugh Pincott (venue as NOV 2)

DEC 14 ESP seminar. Manchester University (see Final Words)

UFO RESEARCH NORTH...NUFON Regional Groups

SCUFON	(Scotland)	41 Leven Court	Alloa	Clackmannanshire	FK10 1QL
SIFRG	(N. East)	53 Brook Terrace	Darlington	Co. Durham	DL 3 6PJ
NUFOIG	(N. Lancs, Cumbria)	8 Beulah Avenue	Morecambe	Lancs	LA 4 6UD
DIGAP	(S. Lancs)	24 Bent Fold Dr	Unsworth	Bury	Lancs BL 9 8NG
WAPIT	(Mid Lancs)	63 Ridyard St	Pemberton	Wigan	Lancs WN 5 9QD
NUFORA	(G Mc, Cheshire)	6 Silsden Ave	Lowton	City Manchester	WA 3 1EN
WYUFORG	(N & W Yorks)	19 Bellmount Gdns	Bramley	N. Yorks	LS13 2ND
SSPR	(S. Yorks)	17 Old Quarry Ave	Wales	Sheffield	Yorks S 31 8RW
SUFORS	(Humber)	Westfield Cottage	Crowle Bank Rd	Althorpe	DN17 3HZ
LUFOIC	(E, Midlands)	28 Willow Brook Rd	Leicester		Leics LE 5 0FE